

DON'T SAY RAG — SAY

WORONI

B104

Intended vehicle of student expression - Contributions welcome.

1958/ D .

THE OUSTERS OUSTED

Last Wednesday the Special General Meeting called to discuss a motion calling on the S.R.C. President to resign ended in confusion. However, before this happened, the motion had been thrown out, though there was controversy over the way in which it was done.

Elsewhere in this issue you will find several articles on the meeting and principles arising out of it by various leading lawyers. Here we will give a report of the meeting as it happened:-

When the meeting began, a little after nine, some 30 persons were present, including seven members of the S.R.C. After half an hour spent in disposing of miscellaneous matter, our President, at 23 minutes to 10 read out the motion in a loud, clear voice and immediately vacated the chair to John L. Carroll, the Vice-President.

The mover of the motion, Aaron James Miller (better known as the Hon. Ron) then spoke. The 15 signatories, he said, came from every faculty, were not bound to vote for the motion, and were definitely not a pressure group. The attack on Chris Masterman was on him in his capacity of president - it was not a personal attack; "we all know Chris - and reckon he's a pretty good bloke." It was no slur personally on Chris that he was irresponsible - after all, we all are more or less - but we could not afford to have an irresponsible president. The signatories wanted to keep the discussion as clean as possible - Ron himself was glad to see that certain people had not turned up to the meeting. (This apparently refers to some individuals in the Commerce faculty.-Ed.)

QUESTIONS

Ron Miller then put the following list of questions:-

- (1). What does the president know about a strong rumour circulating round the college that the College Authorities (in other words, the Registrar) will have nothing to do with him, and regard him as an irresponsible young man?
- (2). What part was played by the President in the preparation, and cleaning-up, of the Commencement Ball?
- (3). Was the conduct of the Revue Party the cause of the Registrar's decision that the Commencement Ball must close at 2.30 a.m.?

(P.T.O.)

- (4). What part did the President play in the organisation and the conduct of Orientation week?
- (5). Why was "Prometheus" (the College magazine) not published in 1957?
- (6). Why did the President break with tradition by not leading the academic procession at the Annual Commencement Ceremony?
- (7). How many issues of Woroni were there in 1957, and what were the dates of the last three issues?
- (8). What part did the President play in the 1958 Revue?
- (9). What was the President's attitude to the March, 1958 meeting of the S.R.C.?
- (10). Could the President give further details of his relations with the College Administration? What are the President's relations with other members of the S.R.C.?

Fiori Rinaldi at once said that question (1) was quite inadmissible, and should be deleted at once. The President was not called upon to answer a rumour. The meeting agreed.

Josie Richards testified that Chris Had contacted her before the March S.R.C. Meeting and had turned up an hour after the meeting started. Then Chris Masterman was given the floor.

CHRIS REPLIES...

- (1). The Meeting has already thrown it out and in any case, I refuse to reply to a rumour. If there is any definite statement, I will answer it, otherwise the question should not have been put.
- (2). From 7.30 to 10.00 p.m. on the night of the Ball, I was acting in the Repertory Play, but was there in the afternoon and after 10.00 p.m. He helped organising work in the preceding two weeks.
- (3). The Revue party was well-conducted and objections were quite unwarranted. The overall liquor consumption was moderate - if it all went down one throat, that was not the affair of the S.R.C.
- (4). Helped in preparations 3 - 4 weeks before, but after that, I was at the N.U.A.U.S. conference in Adelaide. I was there for pre-Orientation in 1957, and was also present at the actual Orientation function, which seemed to go very well.
- (5). I apologise for my lack of activity on Prometheus, but in my capacity as assistant Editor, not as President of the S.R.C.
- (6). The Commencement Ceremony is a purely ceremonial occasion. I was unable to be present, and deputed the job to John Carroll the vice-President. I do not regard the Commencement Ceremony as being as important as the Ball.
- (7). Is the province of the Editor of Woroni. Last year the S.R.C. had even less control over Woroni than this year, and it is felt that the editor should be free to publish what he likes. There was an issue of Woroni before the end-of-term Ball, and another was planned as an orientation issue, but was not actually issued until 1958. In previous years there was no issue in third term. Few universities publish in third term.

CONTINUING ON WORONI ...

John Carroll stated that there were 9 issues of Woroni last year, & that the fewness of the issues was due to his absences. The 6th issue was at the end of June, the 7th at the end of July, and there were 2 others not on the Woroni file after that. He added that Chris had done his best to prod him along.

- (8). I participated in the early preparation, but during the main organising period I was again absent at N.U.A.U.S.
- (9). After the Ball, I was ill over the weekend, and so I was late for the S.R.C. Meeting on Sunday. However I arose from the bed of sickness and appeared, though I was not quite on my feet.
- (10). The first part is merely a repetition of question (1) which has been disallowed. As for the other, some 14 or 15 S.R.C. meetings were held last year, an average of more than one a month, despite exams, so slackness cannot be alleged.

Chris added: "The S.R.C. is an adult organisation - I feel that the President should act in concert with the vice-President and the S.R.C. secretary. The point is not so much who did the job but was it well done?"

Ron Miller also wanted to know why wasn't the meeting publicised more fully, to which our president replied that notice was given on the front notice boards, in the Common Room and in Woroni.

ABSENT FRIENDS

Pat Geach sought leave to read a note from Jacques Grenot. Ron Miller's objection was over-ruled and permission granted. The note said:

- (a). The fact that this meeting has been convened is quite sufficient. I consider that no further action should be taken.
- (b). The S.R.C. have made no move to depose Chris - if they are satisfied, what right have these outsiders to complain.
- (c). Chris was the only nominee for President - nobody else would take it on.
- (d). The term of the President is nearly over.
- (e). The motion is in bad taste.

AND BRINGING UP THE REAR.

Rinaldi: President can delegate his powers; an intolerable situation would arise if he must answer for every trivial action.

Nutt: But the President is not a figurehead; there cannot be too much delegation of powers.

Moyseyenko: The president should be a co-ordinator - not a maid of all work.

Fraser: The delegation has in each case been due to expediency - not principle.

(P.T.O.)

STILL RUNNING

Jack Knight No. 2: Let's face it - in this S.R.C. there is nothing to lead. Not having autonomy, it is not incumbent on the S.R.C. to make a good impression on the administration. Furthermore, I am a rostrum speaker. You can always tell a rostrum speaker - they have their hands behind their backs, one fist in the palm of the other hand

Rinaldi: On a point of order, minor speakers are limited to three minutes.

Chairman: I ask Mr. Knight to conclude his remarks.

Knight: This S.R.C. has not done much ... a president should be a leader and inspirer.

- SUSPENSION -

At this stage it was voted 23 - 5 that standing orders be suspended. They immediately were escorted from the meeting.

Coutts: A minor group has called president inefficient. But who else will take it on? Position of president will be untenable if he can be thus removed at will.

Moyseyenko: Strengthen the president - make a 2/3 vote of the meeting obligatory before president can be removed.

Humphreys: People who signed declaration were not acting in bad taste - wished to have the matter brought out.

Nutt: As one of the signatories, I felt that a prima facie case had been made out, and these serious allegations ventilated.

ROBIN MOVES GAG T

At this stage further debate on the motives of signatories was gagged. Robin Miller had by now entered the meeting. He now moved a motion which resulted in uproar and ultimately broke up the meeting.

ROBIN MILLER: What is the purpose of this motion? Is it a nasty crack at the president, or a serious attempt to discuss the nature of the presidency? I feel it is former. Therefore I move that the motion should be expunged from the order paper.

INSTANTANEOUS UPROAR.

Knight: How can we expunge 1½ hours discussion? I don't understand this use of "expunge" (Several hear hears).

In a temporary lull in the points of order, the motion was put and carried (approximately) 15 - 14. There is some confusion about the actual result, but the Woroni reporter was taking careful notes, & believes that this is the correct figure.

Chris Masterman resumed the chair and the meeting turned to general business; moving from the sublime to the ridiculous. One moment the president was arraigned for High Inefficiency - the next he was asking the meeting's feelings on a proposal to extend the verandah.... we never found out what the opinion was, for after a spate of points of order and musical chairs with the chairman, John Carroll stood on a chair and bawled out: "I declare this meeting closed!"

COMMENT

As Ren Miller ruefully admitted: "I was the bunny." After some ten minutes it was very obvious that the move to sack Chris had no hope of succeeding. Most of the signatories did not turn up; Michael Austin, who seconded the motion, did not speak. A certain male, 1½" above the statistically determined average height of Australian men who had earlier signified an intention to question the personal conduct of the president was conspicuously silent. The case against the president was not strong, it was not pressed, and Robin Miller's motion was quite unnecessary. The manner in which the meeting ended was unsatisfactory - for details, see the article by a prominent lawyer entitled: "Knew Ye Not Joske?"

Tony Magi



Miller: "Get him while we've got the chance, boys!"

Quite Irrelevantly....

At 1.10 a.m., major damage was done to the offside, headlights and bumper bar of a car driven by Christopher John Masterman, of Elimatta Street, Reid.

The car skidded on gravel, overturned and landed back on its wheels near the War Memorial. No one was injured. The car was a Volkswagen, a type of car apparently prone to capsizing (according to the president of the S.R.C.)

KNEW YE NOT JOSKE?

— Procedural Fiasco at Association Meeting.
(The following article is by a prominent member of the Law faculty).

The special meeting of the Students' Association convened on the 9th April to discuss a motion of no confidence in the President of the S.R.C. ended in confusion at a quarter to eleven without the motion having been put.

The meeting progressed smoothly until 10.20 p.m., and at that stage it appeared that the president would have no difficulty in vindicating his conduct as president and that the no-confidence motion would be thrown out. However, at 10.20 p.m., back-bencher Robin Miller who had apparently spent the preceding few hours doing overtime at his office and had only just come to the meeting, took charge of proceedings.

Robin's apparent unfamiliarity with the routine conduct of meetings (coupled with the chairman's failure to appreciate the significance of Robin's unorthodox actions) resulted in the meeting being suddenly thrown into an uproar. Motions of dissent were moved in quick succession and the meeting ended ingloriously when an illegal and undignified effort to close it was made by one who was at the time debarred from acting as chairman.

B L U N D E R

We were unfortunate that our meeting was chaired alternatively by two students who do not seem to have heard of Joske's "The Law and Procedure at meetings" and who at the same time do not possess the happy gift of being able to keep a meeting under control. Unless we are able to obtain the services of competent chairmen in the future, it may be that students just won't attend any Association "circus" meetings. In this connection, it must be mentioned that after the initial speeches for and against the no-confidence motion, the special meeting assumed a farcical air and the chairman had no more control than those members who monopolised the floor with points of order, explanations, dissents etc.

Without being too critical of the pathetic chairmanship that we witnessed at the meeting, the following basic errors are here set out in the hope that they will not be repeated:-

- (1). Unless it is withdrawn, a motion before the meeting must be put. Consent of the sponsors and the meeting is essential for the withdrawal of a properly presented motion. At the special meeting, the no confidence motion was not put. The refusal of the chairman to put the motion was an unforgivable sin. Any student interested in the point will find the cases below¹.

Second Consolidated trust Ltd. v. Ceylon Amalgamated Co. (1943) 169 L.T. 324.

Henderson v. Bank of Australasia Ltd. (1890) 45 ch. D. 330.

R. v. Neeson; ex parte Kock (1937) V.L.R. 211.

Breay v. Browne (1896) 41 Sol. Jo. 159.

FURTHERMORE

(2). Where dissent has been moved and seconded, the Chairman must vacate the chair. HE CANNOT RESUME THE CHAIR until after the dissent motion against him has been decided. For example, at a General meeting of the Guild of Undergraduates in Perth 1949, 8 successive dissents were moved and the 8 chairmen stood aside until their particular dissent had been decided. The latest dissent is of course dealt with first and the chairmen successively resumed the chair after their rulings had been upheld by the meeting.

When Jack Knight No. 2 and Fiori Rinaldi moved dissent from a ruling by John Carroll, the chair was taken by Chris. Masterman who apparently erred in handling the dissent proceedings; at any rate, a dissent against his ruling was moved by Fiori Rinaldi and Jack Knight. When Chris. vacated the chair, John Carroll made an attempt to take the chair although at the time he was barred, as the dissent motion against him was not yet settled. Pandemonium broke loose when John was seen attempting this unorthodox move and the meeting broke up in disorder despite attempts in turn by Jack Nutt, Ron Fraser and Joan Thomson to take the chair and restore order.

NOW . . .

(3). The original no-confidence motion was not put because the chairman accepted a motion by Robin Miller that the no confidence motion be expunged. Robin was completely out of order in moving this motion, which should not have been accepted. The conduct of the chairman in accepting the motion was deplorable.

The only procedure available for expunging a motion is that explained to the meeting by lawyer Jack Knight, viz.

- (a). It must be moved and seconded that the motion (in this case the no confidence motion) be put. If the meeting agrees, then
- (b). The actual motion (the no confidence one) must be put. When it has been defeated
- (c). It must be moved and seconded that all reference to the motion be expunged from the records.

Since this procedure was not followed, the strong dissent against this unorthodox motion was completely justified. Perhaps the mistakes by the chairmen were caused by the excessive noise and general confusion, but it is to be hoped that major blunders of this sort do not occur again.

- "Arbitrator".

ELECTIONS

Elections are here again. It is time to replace the much reviled S.R.C. and President with better representatives - if you can. Nominations, which must be in writing and signed by a nominator and one seconder and showing nominees acceptance, must be lodged with Joan Thomson by 6 p.m. Tuesday 22nd April. Ballot papers for contested positions will be sent out to students by Saturday 26th of April; they must be returned by 3rd May. The results will be declared at the Annual General Meeting on Tuesday, 6th May. In the past elections have been few; usually it has been a struggle to secure sufficient persons to fill the council, and the President and the S.R.C. were unopposed last year. More details on next page.

POSITIONS

The vacant positions are:-

- (1). PRESIDENT of the Students' Association.
- (2). TWO representatives of the Law Faculty.
- (3). THREE representatives of the Arts faculty.
- (4). THREE representatives of the Commerce faculty.
- (5). ONE representative of Public Administration Diploma Students.
- (6). THREE representatives of the Non-Faculty Faculty (which includes single-subject members of the Students' Association).

MOTIONS

Do you want to move a motion at the Annual General Meeting on Tuesday 6th May, at 8 p.m. in the Common Room? Then remember, notice of motion must be given in writing beforehand to the S.R.C. 3 days before the meeting - this is to give Joan Thomson time to have them typed out and duplicated. Even if you don't want to move a motion, you should still come along. A.G.M.'s at the C.U.C. are always lively - last year's meeting attracted 70 people. If the Special Meeting is any guide, a highly entertaining evening seems assured.



We print the official letter sent by the S.R.C. to the Registrar protesting about the Commencement Ball.

Sir,

We wish to protest against your action in setting an arbitrary closing time for the 1958 Commencement Ball.

Although 2.00 a.m. may on occasion be a reasonable time for ending such a function, it is unwise to decide such a time in advance, as only during the course of the Ball is it possible to decide whether its success warrants its early or late conclusion. In this case almost two hundred people were still in attendance after 2.00 a.m. and were anxious to continue. The Ball was proceeding in an orderly fashion, and the Students' Representative Council had no difficulty in controlling the general behaviour of persons present.

Many guests were dissatisfied with the decision to end the Ball before 2.30 a.m. and the Council would have been quite willing to continue to 3.00 a.m. what could easily have been the most successful College Commencement Ball ever run. If this situation continues to arise, the Council will be faced with the alternatives of a diminishing or less satisfied attendance, or of making other arrangements for the Ball.

We should dislike either alternative, and hope that you willing in future, as in the past, to entrust all arrangements for Commencement Ball to the Council. There will presumably be occasional isolated instances of unpleasant behaviour in future, but the Council cannot be reasonably be held responsible for these incidents which might occur whoever ran the Ball. Even if the College direction as to the Ball is necessary, we feel that it should be only in consultation with us and we should be given adequate notice. But we hope that consideration of this protest, will lead you to decide that such direction will not be continued.

LETTERS

Sir,

I think it may be desirable to clarify the position as to the voting on the motion of deletion at the recent Special General Meeting. Quite obviously, from the SRC President's reply to the allegations and from the ensuing discussion, there was no coherent case for him to answer, there being only two or perhaps three charges of substance against him, two of which were disposed of, and the other, concerning Prometheus is more to be laid at the door of the actual students themselves than at that of the President. Those who voted in favour of the motion of deletion did so because they considered that enough had been said on the subject, and that the motion of no-confidence should be thrown out forthwith; those who voted against the former-mentioned motion did so because they believed that if the discussions were continued there would ensue an actual as well as a moral exculpation of the President.

Thus the final vote of 15 to 14 that the original motion be deleted does not indicate that there was only a small majority supporting the President, but merely that some wanted the matter terminated as rapidly as possible, and others desired a formal statement of exoneration.

Finally, it is of interest to note that CUC students are still capable of conducting a disorderly meeting. Let us hope that these stimulating clashes shall continue to enliven the tedium of student existence, and that other faculties will take a lead from the alert Law group.

Ronald G. Fraser.

Sir,

I am disgusted with the behaviour of certain students who attended the recent general meeting of the Students' Association. I was particularly nauseated by the irresponsible conduct of the Law students.

There can be no doubt that the lawyers called the meeting because more lawyers signed the petition calling the meeting than did students from each of the other faculties.

There can be no doubt that they stacked the meeting, so effectively that the law students completely outnumbered the students from all faculties.

Now having called and stacked the meeting the Law Society (with the aid of their stooge who chaired the meeting) proceeded to run the meeting. Apart from their Commerce "front" the lawyers had the floor for more than 95% of the motion was debated.

When they had had their say they were responsible for closing the meeting. In what was obviously a rehearsed move, as one they rose to their feet, abused the chair and created such a scene that the chairman had to declare the meeting closed because it (through the lawyers' actions) had got out of hand.

Why did they call, stack, run and close the meeting? Sir, I would suggest they were making political capital of the situation, having regard to the fact that election of office-bearers of the will take place shortly. I therefore call on all students of the College not to vote for law candidates or their "stooges".

Arty!

Sir,

The above is patently rubbish. The Law Society had no policy on the meeting--its individual members attended because Law students (per se) take an interest in all College activities. It was bad luck for the mover of the motion that they outnumbered the other, and presumably more biased students. It was also bad luck for the chairman that they had an understanding of the legalities of the situation. A full and fair account of the meeting was given in this Woroni by Mr. Rinaldi.

"Legal Eagle."

G. and S.

This notice is for the purpose of ascertaining the interest present in the College for G & S and to find the people who would be interested in participating in a G & S production.

People's opinions are invited and should be placed in Joan Thompson's locker in the Students' Common Room. People wishing to take part in a G & S production should write their name, address and telephone number (if any) on a reasonable sized sheet of paper and placed in Joan's locker before the end of First Term.

Malcolm Harrison.

Dear Sir,

President

I think Chris Masterman was not the first full-time of the CUCSA (Woroni 1957/8)--- Maris King in 52/3 and 53/4 was President, and I was full-time in 53 or 54. Marius is our only Hon. Life Assoc. Member---sic transit gloria.

John L. Carroll.

Dear Sir,

Congratulations to the SRC for their vigorous protest on behalf of students against the absurd decision of the Registrar to stop the Commencement Ball at such a ridiculously early hour.

Few may be aware that this dictatorial decision was conveyed to the Secretary at a very late hour on the day of the Ball. Furthermore how come that the caretaker's daughter knew more about this matter than did the SRC?

What a shame that the Registrar should have spoilt what was for both the students and Professors the beginnings of a wonderful evening. I would strongly suggest that the new SRC make arrangements for the Commencement Ball to be held in a place other than the college where all will be able to enjoy themselves --- free from the iron hand of the Inquisitors.

Yours,
Sober Sides.

AQUINAS Society

Inaugural Meeting.

Common Room.
Tuesday, 22nd April, 1958.

All Catholic undergraduates welcome.

We hear that a certain individual was the first object of the Narellan House pest extermination campaign.

How does the Buttery expect to encourage patronage when its menu contains this item----- "Baked bean on toast."

George Moyseyenko is the individual responsible for all those alluring pictures advertising the Sports Council activities --- a pity the reality cannot be equated to the illustrations.

Apropos of that Aquinas Society meeting - there is also an S.C.M. Group in operation, but we have temporarily mislaid the paper with the information. We will print it next issue.

Do you know what Ab. Schol. is yet? For those persons who have contacted the Editor instead of Pat Geach, we will have a screed on it next issue.

A lot of stuff which should have been printed has been omitted, for this and that reason. We THINK that we might be able to have it all in next issue - provided no more special meetings turn up. So please - no more palace revolutions ... until Woroni has caught up, at any rate.

Who was that individual who was screaming around Narellan at one o'clock in the morning after the Sports Council meeting?


Did you know that not so long ago, some Narellanites arose at four in the morning and painted on the roof of the College? Did they know that the deputy-assistant Registrar (if that is his title) was on the roof at six a.m. the same morning washing it all off again? Who were those people who put a fig leaf on the statue in front of University House? Who were fighting with fire extinguishers at Narellan?

F E M A L E S . . .

Do you need an outlet for suppressed anti-male tendencies? (Janett take note). The Canberra University College Women's Rugby Union Club provides just the safety-valve required. Sometime later in the year a match is to be held between Forestry School and you; volunteers are required. Don't worry: the boys will have legs and one arm shackled and will be told not to be naughty (at least during the match). In 1956 the Uni. girls beat Forestry 9-6 and a great time was had by all, including spectators. A screed on the Common Room notice board gives you a chance to secure a boy-friend painlessly (?). Training will be arranged shortly.

BOYS - why should Forestry have all the fun? Why not arrange another football match, male v. females, as an all-College affair?

Copy for Woroni.




Dear Miss Thomson,

Enclosed is our receipt for £ 30-0-0 which we were very pleased to receive.

Unfortunately, unless a substantial amount in the vicinity of £ 300-0-0 is not received within the next few days the possibility of legal action will have to be considered.

Yours faithfully,

J.A. Nutt,
Secretary,
Sports Council.



Each club must adopt a constitution which is to be submitted to the Sports Council for approval (under the constitution of the Council). Draft constitutions are available for assistance in this matter from J.A. Nutt, Secretary of the Council.

We hear that C.M. Jay has been charged by the Law Society with the murder of Wilbur Orville Roni. Prisoner has been remanded on bail.

S P O R T

A few days ago a meeting of the Sports Council was held - the first for several years. Minutes of the last meeting were read; these stated that "there being only four members present at the Annual General Meeting, it is resolved that all functions of the Sports Council shall be handed over to the Students' Representative Council until such time as sufficient student activity has revived ..." The four members were all on the S.R.C.

Barry Schick took over the chair and proceeded to as neat a bit of political management as Woroni has seen for some time; in record time officials were nominated and seconded; there were no other nominees and the officials were declared elected. Des Kennard attempted to disrupt proceedings by asking for the written consent of the nominees, who were mostly absent. The written consents were duly produced. Jack Nutt is now secretary, Barry Schick Treasurer, Lance Murray President; George Moyseyenko found himself pitched into the job of Publicity Officer, the only position which had not been allocated beforehand. The S.R.C. representative on the Sports Council is Joan Thomson pro tem. The vice-Presidents are Alf Preece and Rafe de Crespigny (pronounced Crepny, Crepinue, Crepinee etc. - sorry Rafe).

They then proceeded to talk big about various activities, such as skiing, shooting, hockey, badminton, tennis, golf, ping-pong etc.

BADMINTON held a successful evening on Tuesday from 7 - 10 p.m. in the College Hall with an attendance of 16 - 20 persons. It is hoped that this will be a regular weekly feature.


Hockey Club: Is active, did well in the competitions at Reid Park.

TABLE TENNIS. A ping-pong tournament is now in progress with over 20 players. No more nominations at this stage, but another competition should be held before long.

No other activities yet on the sports front.

C. U. D. S.

Meeting of Canberra University Dramatic Society (Annual General). Discussion of play for Second Term. Probably Thursday 1st May.
Business: Presentation of Report.
Election of Officers.
Discussion of Play.
Supper.



THIS IS AN IMPORTANT MEETING. IF YOU ARE INTERESTED IN ACTING? YOU ARE URGED TO COME ALONG.

FORUM ! ! !

Forum club is holding a debate next Wednesday at 8 p.m. in the Common Room. The subject is top secret; after the row over the Shield for Narellan, we do not dare to print it. However, the debaters are all experts on the subject in question, and a lively evening seems probable, particularly as the debate is ARTS versus Law.

CREDITS

Christopher M. Jay has been charged with the murder of this issue. Also charged were Ron Fraser, Chris. Masterman, Tony Magi, Malcolm Harrison, Ron Miller, Fiori Rinaldi, George Moyseyenko. In case this makes

things look like a man's world, remanded on bail were Anne Oldham, Gail Tregear, Christa Bolz, Rosemary Hemming, Nancy Beer, Marion Beveridge, Elizabeth Prior, Lindis Masterman, Judith Baskin etc.